

---

---

## Architectural Design

---

[Architectural Design (Chapter 17): Buxton & McDermid, 1993]  
*“Overview paper or 33 pages without saying anything”*

- introduction to concept of *“Architectural Design”*
- difficulty of balancing requirements and high-level design
- unquantifiable requirements:
  - Interface qualities: user friendliness, robustness, reliability
  - Behaviour qualities: maintainability, modifiability, extensibility, reusability
- almost no laws governing quality of software product
- functional, object-oriented, & process driven decomposition
- Myer’s structure charts, dataflow notations, MASCOT (& sequels), data structure diagrams, Booch’s HOOD( Hierarchical Object Oriented Design), De Marco’s Structured Analysis/Structured Design (SA/SD), Jackson System Development (JSD), Nicholl’s Structured System Analysis and Design Method (SSADM)

---

---

## Foundations for the Study of Software Architecture

---

[ACM SIGSOFT: Perry & Wolf, 1992] *“The means justifies the end”*

- “The 1990s, we believe, will be the decade of *software architecture*.”
- compares software architecture to network architecture (concludes nothing) and building architecture (proves that if you abstract enough you can compare anything)
- motivation: need another layer of abstraction for large systems
- introduces concepts of *architectural erosion* and *architectural drift*
- want to accomplish:
  - prescribe the architectural constraints to the desired level
  - separate aesthetics from engineering
  - express different aspects of the architecture in an appropriate manner
  - perform dependency and consistency analysis
- describes some very basic architectural styles:

---

---

## A Field Guide to Boxology

---

[CMU: Shaw & Clements, 1996]  
*"Pretty box, awk, pretty box"*

- extension of Garlan & Shaw's previous ground breaking work
- two-page table listing various architecture patterns, including cross-referencing and sub-classing
- control issues: topology, synchronicity, binding time
- data issues: topology, continuity, mode, binding time
- control & data issues: shape, directionality
- in-depth analysis of variations of two examples: pipe-and-filter and message passing

---

---

## Architectural Styles, Design Patterns, and Objects

---

[IEEE Software: Monroe, Kompanek, Melton & Garlan, 1997]

*“Buzz-words, get your red hot buzz-words here”*

- explains each buzz word
- uses the example of a pipe and filter, attempting to restrict visibility using each concept
- discusses patterns and styles
- disturbing mix of terms “object” and “design pattern”

---

---

## Programming-in-the-Large Versus Programming-in-the-Small

---

[IEEE Tran. on SE: DeRemer and Kron, 1976]

*“Time does tell”*

- MIL: Module Interconnection Language – “provide a means for the programmer(s) of a large system to express their intent regarding the overall program structure in a concise, precise, and checkable form”
- goals:
  - project management tool
  - design tool – “means of establishing overall program structure”
  - means of communication amongst designers
  - means of documenting
- introduces MIL75

---

---

# ISF: A Visual Formalism for Specifying Interconnection Styles for Software Design

---

[IJSEKE: Mancoridis, 1998]  
*“nice title on ya buddy”*

- ISF – Interconnection Style Formalism
- hierarchical, graphic notation for specifying a pattern
- dictates allowed interactions between modules
- rules are stored in Datalog so notation can be compiled and checked
- uses concepts of “define” and “permit” to dictate the style
- unclear on how this can interface with real code, or whether you would want it to

---

---

## Binary Relational Algebra Applied to Software Architecture

---

[CSRI Tech Report: Holt, 1996]

*“but why not babble fish?”*

- introduces Grok tool for rudimentary relational algebra using Rigi Standard Format (RSF) tuple relationships
- simple set theory functions
- subsumption through cross-product
- although tool is buggy, it is essentially a good idea
- replaces miles of perl scripts
- why not just use a data base?



---

---

## Software Architecture in Industrial Applications

---

[IEEE 17th Conference on SE: Soni, Nord, Hofmeister, 1995]  
*“if I told you, I would have to kill you”*

- four architectural views:
  - conceptual – major design elements & connections
  - module – functional decomposition and layers
  - code – source organization
  - execution – dynamic structure
- use many examples from industry, all of which were proprietary and had to be obfuscated to the point of being useless before they could be included in the paper



---

---

## Architectural Mismatch or Why it's hard to build systems out of existing parts

---

[IEEE 17th Conf. SE: Garlan, Allen, Ockerbloom, 1995]  
*"there is a sucker born every minute"*

- building Aesop: wanted to mix an OO-database, toolkit for GUI, event-based integration mechanism, and RPC mechanism
- problems: excessive code size, poor performance, need to modify external packages, re-invent existing functions, unnecessarily complicated tools (3 minute startup time – insist that it wasn't just bad coders)
- assumptions about: nature of components, nature of connectors, global architectural structure, and construction process
- solution:
  - make architectural assumptions explicit
  - construct large piece of s/w using orthogonal sub-components
  - provide techniques for bridging mismatches
  - develop sources of architectural design guidance

---

---

## An Architectural Analysis Case Study: Internet Information Systems

---

[WWW: Kazman, Bass, Abowd, Clements, 1997]  
*“the night of the living dead or SAAM II”*

- quantifying intangible qualities is difficult
- consider “task scenarios” which are tangible
- use common architectural notation to compare candidate architectures
- identify task scenarios which correspond to functional components; assign a + if it is just one, else assign a -
- weight task scenarios as to their importance
- moves the argument?

---

---

## The 4+1 View Model of Architecture

---

[IEEE Software: Kruchten, 1995]  
*"how many fingers do you need?"*

- introduces five views
  - logical view – object model
  - process view – concurrency and synchronization
  - physical view- – mapping of software onto hardware; especially for large distributed systems
  - development view – static organization in development environment
  - scenarios
- correlates with Soni
- examples of PBX and flight simulation software

---

---

## Software Reflexion Models: Bridging the Gap Between Source and High-Level Models

---

[SIGSOFT: Murphy, Notkin and Sullivan, 1995]  
*“Zed’s dead baby, Zed’s dead”*

- compares abstract and concrete architecture
  - convergence – the good
  - divergence – the bad
  - absence – the gratuitous
- Z-notation to describe these concepts
- correspondence between concept and implementation

---

---

## A Reverse-engineering Approach to Subsystem Structure Identification

---

[Software Maintenance: Muller, Orgun, Tilley, and Uhl, 1993]  
*“your smart-ass comment here”*

- extraction of architecture from source
- Rigi is your friend
  - it parses
  - it relates
  - it draws
  - it filters
  - it does none of these things correctly

---

---

## Assessing Modular Structure of Legacy Code Based on Mathematical Concept Analysis

---

[ICSE: Lindig and Snelting, 1997]

*“how many elephants must die for an ivory tower of this size to be built?”*

- attempts to mathematically formalize the concept of a module
- here a Greek letter, there a Greek letter
- coupling of modules based on variables used by a set of procedures
- maximal cohesion is used to define a module
- every procedure must use every variable to be in the module
- what is the point?

---

---

## The Future

---

- very high level languages for architecture
- integrated development and planning tools
- reverse engineering tools – fully automated extraction
- utilization of coupling and cohesion measures
- pattern catalogues?
- better pattern matching techniques will lead to better extraction